

Lec 18:

03/20/2010

Freeze out of WIMPs and WIMP Miracle:

So far we have considered the relic abundance of massive neutrinos in the cases that $m_\nu \ll 1 \text{ MeV}$ and $1 \text{ MeV} \ll m_\nu \ll m_{Z, W^\pm}$.

Now let us consider a generic Weakly Interacting Massive Particle (WIMP), denoted by X that has^a mass m_X .

Its interactions have a strength similar to that of weak interactions. It therefore behaves similar to a neutrino if $m_X \ll m_{Z, W^\pm}$, which we considered before.

We are interested in finding the relic density of X if $m_X \gg 100 \text{ GeV}$. Starting in thermal equilibrium at $T \gg m_X$, the situation will be similar to that

for a neutrino with $m \ll 1 \text{ MeV}$. Once T drops

below m_X , then X 's annihilate to lighter particles and n_X follows its equilibrium value for a non-relativistic species. Eventually, annihilation rate becomes smaller than the Hubble expansion rate, at which time freeze out happens. The comoving number density of X , or $\frac{n_X}{S}$, will remain constant from then on.

For a generic WIMP we have:

$$\Gamma_{ann} = n_X \langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle$$

However, for $m_X \gg m_{Z, W^\pm}$ we have:

$$\langle \sigma_{ann} v_{rel} \rangle \sim \frac{d_W^2}{m_X^2} \begin{matrix} (a + b \langle v^2 \rangle, m) \\ \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \\ \text{S-wave} \qquad \text{P-wave} \end{matrix}$$

Here m_X^{-1} determines the range of annihilation interactions, while for $m_X \ll m_{Z, W^\pm}$ it is given by $\frac{m_X}{m_{Z, W^\pm}^2}$. Note that

$$G_F \sim \frac{d_W}{m_{Z, W^\pm}^2}, \text{ where } d_W \sim O(10^{-3}) \text{ (} d_W \text{ is a gauge fine structure constant)}$$

We can now find freeze out temperature $T_{f.o.}$ by equating

Γ_{ann} and H (as we did before). For simplicity, we focus on the case where $\alpha \neq 0$, hence annihilation in the S -wave dominates;

$$\Gamma_{\text{ann}} \approx H \Rightarrow \frac{d\omega^3}{m_X^2} \left(\frac{T_{f.o.} m_X}{2\pi} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{m_X}{T}\right) \approx \left(\frac{\pi^2}{g_*} g_* \right)^{1/2} \frac{T_{f.o.}^2}{M_{\text{pl}}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp\left(\frac{m_X}{T_{f.o.}}\right) \approx (2\pi)^{-3/2} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{g_*} g_* \right)^{-1/2} \alpha^2 \left(\frac{m_X}{T_{f.o.}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_{\text{pl}}}{m_X} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m_X}{T_{f.o.}} \approx 25 - \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{\pi^2}{g_*} g_*\right) + \frac{1}{2} \ln\left(\frac{m_X}{T_{f.o.}}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{100 \text{ GeV}}{m_X}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m_X}{T_{f.o.}} \approx 25 + (\text{logarithmic corrections})$$

Again, we find a robust expression for $T_{f.o.}$. Repeating

what we did in the previous lecture, we find:

$$\frac{h_X}{s} = \frac{h_X^{f.o.}}{s_{f.o.}} \approx \left(\frac{\pi^2}{g_*} g_* \right)^{-1/2} \frac{25 m_X}{4 M_{\text{pl}} \alpha^2}$$

Since the contribution from X to the energy density cannot exceed that from the dark matter (which

is ~ 6 times that from baryons), we have,

$$\frac{h^2 \Omega_{\tilde{\chi}}}{\Omega_{\tilde{\chi}}} m_{\tilde{\chi}} \leq 6 \frac{h^2 \Omega_B}{\Omega_B} \times (1 \text{ GeV}) \Rightarrow \left(\frac{\pi^2}{90} g_{\tilde{\chi}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{25}{4\alpha\omega^2} \frac{m_{\tilde{\chi}}^2}{M_{\text{Pl}}}$$

$$\leq 6 \times 9 \times 10^{-11} \times (1 \text{ GeV})$$

Note that the inequality is saturated if $\tilde{\chi}$ accounts for all of the dark matter in the universe.

It is seen that for $m_{\tilde{\chi}} \leq 100 \text{ GeV}$ we have $\rho_{\tilde{\chi}} \sim 0.5 \rho_{\text{DM}}$

while for $m_{\tilde{\chi}} = 1 \text{ TeV}$ we have $\rho_{\tilde{\chi}} \sim 5 \rho_{\text{DM}}$.

Therefore within the WIMP mass window of $(10^2 - 10^3) \text{ GeV}$ the contribution from WIMPs is in the ballpark area of dark matter.

It is remarkable that this mass window is the where same as the one \wedge commonly believed there is new physics beyond the standard model. Many extensions of the standard model including new particles of

weak strength interactions and masses in the $100 \text{ GeV} - 1 \text{ TeV}$ window have been proposed. This window is within the energy reach of colliders, such as the Large Hadron Collider, which is built to discover new physics in this energy range.

The coincidence of the WIMP mass range where it can account for dark matter, and that motivated from particle physics, is called the WIMP miracle.

This tells us that there is something special about particles with weak strength interactions and mass in the $100 \text{ GeV} - 1 \text{ TeV}$ window. For this reason, it is widely believed that such new particles indeed exist.

Chemical Freeze out vs Kinetic Freeze out:

What happens at $T_{f.o.} \sim \frac{m_\chi}{25}$ is actually chemical freeze out. This means that the comoving number density of WIMPs remains constant for $T < T_{f.o.}$. Note that for $m_\chi \sim 100 \text{ GeV} - 1 \text{ TeV}$ the freeze out temperature is very high,

$$T_{f.o.} \sim 4 \text{ GeV} - 40 \text{ GeV} \gg 1 \text{ MeV}.$$

Even though χ annihilation is inefficient at $T < T_{f.o.}$, it can interact with particles that have weak interactions (ν 's, e^\pm , ...) effectively down to $T \sim 1 \text{ MeV}$ (because of the efficiency of weak interactions until then).

These interactions keep χ in kinetic equilibrium with the plasma. Since χ 's are non-relativistic at this time, then:

$$\frac{1}{2} m_\chi \langle v_\chi^2 \rangle = \frac{3}{2} T \Rightarrow \langle v_\chi^2 \rangle = \frac{3T}{m_\chi}$$

The rms velocity of χ is therefore redshifted according to:

$$v_{\text{rms}} \propto a^{-1} \quad T \gtrsim 1 \text{ MeV}$$

Finally, at $T < 1 \text{ MeV}$, weak interactions freeze out.

At this time χ 's completely decouple from the plasma.

They move freely with an initial velocity given

by a Gaussian distribution with $v_{\text{rms}} \approx \sqrt{3\chi \left(\frac{1 \text{ MeV}}{m_\chi} \right)}$.

The velocity of each χ particle is redshifted a^{-1} , as expected for a free particle.

Next time we will see what happens between

the kinetic decoupling of WIMPs and the start

of growth in density inhomogeneities because of

gravitational clumping.

In passing we note that a WIMP decouples

from the plasma much earlier than baryons

(~ 1 sec vs $\sim 400,000$ year). This implies that inhomogeneities in dark matter grow more than that in baryons. By the time baryons decouple, there is already gravitational wells from dark matter in which baryons fall. This plays an important role in the growth of density fluctuations and subsequent formation of the structure.